

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 01/04/2024  
fromNiamh Maher I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000  
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 08/04/2024

## For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

S.A.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please prepare BP \_\_\_\_\_ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached  
submission

to: \_\_\_\_\_ Task No: \_\_\_\_\_

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP \_\_\_\_\_

EO: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AA: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File With \_\_\_\_\_

## CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please treat correspondence received on 01/04/2024 as follows:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____<br><br>2. Acknowledge with BP <u>23</u><br>3. Keep copy of Board's Letter <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____<br>2. Keep Envelope: <input type="checkbox"/><br>3. Keep Copy of Board's letter <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--|

Amendments/Comments Niamh Maher response to S.13112/03/24: 02/04/24

## 4. Attach to file

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| (a) R/S <input type="checkbox"/>            | (d) Screening <input type="checkbox"/>    |
| (b) GIS Processing <input type="checkbox"/> | (e) Inspectorate <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) Processing <input type="checkbox"/>     |   |

RETURN TO EO ☐EO: Pat BDate: 08/04/2024Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐AA: Anthony McNallyDate: 25/04/2024

## Fergal Ryan

---

**From:** Bord  
**Sent:** 02 April 2024 09:26  
**To:** Appeals2  
**Subject:** FW: Observation relating to submissions of new noise contours for night time insulation in relevant action appeal, reference number F20A/0668  
**Attachments:** F20A0668 additional information.docx

---

**From:** niamh maher <niamhisa@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 1, 2024 10:21 PM  
**To:** Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>  
**Subject:** Observation relating to submissions of new noise contours for night time insulation in relevant action appeal, reference number F20A/0668

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please see attached submission relating to additional noise maps sent on 4th March by Tom Phillips and associates on behalf of daa.

Niamh Maher  
Common Cottage Chapelmidway  
St Margarets  
Co Dublin  
K67HE62

Niamh Maher  
Common Cottage Chapelmidway  
St Margarets  
Co Dublin  
K67HE62

Case number ABP-314485-22  
Reference number F20A/0668

To whom it may concern,

I wish to submit a response in relation to the submission of additional maps relating to the noise contour zones and night insulation requirements as part of the relevant action application.

Firstly I would like to highlight that, as previously mentioned, our home is wildly outside the original EIAR for significant noise associated with the north runway.

Now, according to these new maps, we are within a 63 dB Lden zone in addition to a 55dB Lnight average for nighttime noise.

No real time measurements have been taken by the DAA or ANCA where the noise exists on the 'divergent flight paths' off the north runway in westerly directions. All is as per modelled predictions. These modelled measurements are inaccurate, as independent noise monitoring has been carried out in the area by St Margaret's the Ward residents and the average noise levels are higher than those presented.

Also these proposed areas for night insulation were never a part of the original appeal and therefore cannot put in an individual objection as they were never meant to factor onto a discussion surrounding night time noise, according to the original plans and flight paths for the north runway. Homes significantly affected by noise, ie Lden levels of greater than the 63 dB average, were meant to be mitigated PRIOR to the opening of the north runway, as per planning permission. This did not occur as the already mitigated and insulated homes lie along the path of the originally 'intended' flight paths communicated from 2007 planning as opposed to the now 'intended' flight paths as per the DAA.

Also, it is important to note, again, that despite the DAA claiming that these current flight paths were always intended, when the runway opened they stated publicly, in the oireachtas, these paths were as much as a surprise to them as anyone else!

The story from the DAA keeps changing but the facts remain the same.

This afterthought of the very significant adverse effects of changing flight paths without appropriate procedure and permission cannot and should not be allowed.

The knock on effect is obvious.

The population being exposed to this significant increase in noise over their homes are left extremely vulnerable with the only hope being the protection of the planning laws of this country. The Fingal development plan is completely at odds with these altered noise zones.

With so much change and attempted suppression of public engagement this application is not, whatsoever, fit for purpose.

In the interest of what is fair and the integrity of the planning laws of this country, this application must be refused.

Regards

Dr Niamh Maher.